

**New Jersey Turnpike Authority
Engineering Department**

**Order for Professional Services No. P3939
Design Services for Contract No. P100.661
Bridge Repairs and Resurfacing, Milepost 0 To 126 (2025)
And
Order for Professional Services No. P3940
Design Services for Contract No. P100.662
Bridge Repairs and Resurfacing, Milepost 126 To 172 (2025)**

**Responses to Inquiries
Request for Expressions of Interest**

1. **Inquiry:** Under the Project Description, Page 3 of 60, the fifth paragraph, "In addition, the Consultant ..." references selection of a bridge to perform preliminary and final design for reconstruction and miscellaneous improvements. The scope for Bridge Reconstruction, Preliminary and Final Design services is not listed under Subsection B2; can the scope of services be provided?

Response: Please refer to Subsection B2, Section IV - Scope Of Project - Miscellaneous Structural Repairs. Also, please pay particular attention to the third sentence of Subsection B2, Section IV - Scope of Project – Miscellaneous Structural Repairs 1(c) "Consultants are strongly encouraged to review previous Phase 'A' Reports, Bridge Inspection Reports, and final Plans and Supplementary Specifications as part of their EOI preparation process."

Full "Bridge Reconstruction" as such is not identified in this RFEOI.

2. **Inquiry:** Under the Project Description, Page 3 of 60, the fifth paragraph, "In addition, the Consultant ..." references selection of a bridge to perform preliminary and final design for reconstruction and miscellaneous improvements. The scope for Bridge Reconstruction, Preliminary and Final Design services is not listed under Subsection B2; will this scope be required under both Contracts or one in particular?

Response: The scope as defined in the RFEOI will be required for both design contracts.

3. **Inquiry:** Under the Project Description, Page 3 of 60, the fifth paragraph, "In addition, the Consultant ..." references selection of a bridge to perform preliminary and final design for reconstruction and miscellaneous improvements. The budget allocation for bridge deck replacement/reconstruction is not delineated under Subsection B2, IX (Program Funding, Page 31 of 60); can the budget allocation be provided?

Response: The \$15 million funding will be allocated as necessary based on the findings of the Phase A Reports. No specific programming of funds are made for deck/superstructure/substructure repairs.

4. **Inquiry:** Under the Project Description, Page 3 of 60, the fifth paragraph, “In addition, the Consultant ...” references selection of a bridge to perform preliminary and final design for reconstruction and miscellaneous improvements. The bridge lists under Subsection B5 do not identify those to be considered as candidates for complete deck replacement or reconstruction; can the bridges for full deck replacement/reconstruction be provided?

Response: The prioritization and extents of deck replacements will be made in the Phase A Report. Recommendations for selective deck replacements or complete deck reconstruction will be made by the consultant in this report.

5. **Inquiry:** Under Subsection B5, Page 40 of 60, the Known Deficiencies for Bridge Nos. 15 through 18 is missing delineation; can the known deficiencies at each bridge be clarified?

Response: The following are clarified:

No.	Structure No.	Bridge Name	No. of Spans	Known Deficiencies
15	146.4	Maybaum Avenue over Parkway N/S	2	Deck, Substructure, Superstructure, Bearing
16	147.0A	Parkway Ramps 145SBX F and K Parallel to Parkway S, Ramp 142SBE G over Infield Area	25	Deck, Substructure, Superstructure
17	147.1	Freeway Drive East over Parkway N/S	2	Deck, Substructure, Bearings, Superstructure
18	147.4	William Street over Parkway N/S	2	Deck, Bearings, Substructure